

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,008		03/15/2004	Noriya Hayashi	080542-0166	6818
22428	7590	09/01/2005		. EXAM	INER
FOLEY A		DNER	GORR, RA	GORR, RACHEL F	
SUITE 500 3000 K STI		<i>i</i>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DO	20007	1711	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				DATE MAILED: 09/01/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6
_

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/800,008	HAYASHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rachel F. Gorr	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON be cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. 6 133)
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 A</u>	lugust 2005.	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
- 		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc	•	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received.	
Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in A	pplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.
		•
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 083005
Mr.	January	consequence and inclinion pare 083002

Application/Control Number: 10/800,008

Art Unit: 1711

Page 2

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Saunders.

In the third example of Table XII on page 770, Saunders shows a polymer composition consisting of polypropylene glycol having a molecular weight of 400 and a diisocyanate made at an NCO/OH ratio of 1.08. The properties would be inherent because the polymer is the same as the claims.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-8 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saunders in view of Sweeney.
- 5. Saunders shows the polymer of the claims. On page 770, he teaches including fillers in the polymer. He differs from the claims by not specifying fiber fillers.
- 6. Sweeney shows that, in Table 3-10, fiber fillers improve the properties of polyurethanes.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,008

Art Unit: 1711

Page 3

- 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use fibers as fillers in the urethanes of Saunders in order to improve the properties.
- 8. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beyrle.

In the example, Beyrle discloses a polyurethane composition consisting of diisocyanate and greater than 50 wt. % polypropylene glycol having a molecular weight of 440 in the polyol having the specified molecular weight. The properties would be inherent.

- 9. Claims 4-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beyrle in view of Recker.
- 10. Beyrle discloses the polyurethane of the claims but differs from these claims by not showing it used in glass fiber laminants.
- 11. Recker shows that the polyurethanes of Recker (middle col. 2 top col. 3) can be used to make glass fiber laminants of multiple layers (bottom col. 12).
- 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the polyurethane of Beyrle in the application of Recker because the polymer of Recker encompasses the urethane of Beyrle, and Beyrle shows the the adherence of his urethane to glass.
- 13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,008

Art Unit: 1711.

Page 4

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel F. Gorr whose telephone number is 571-272-1072. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri., from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R.G. August 30, 2005

> RACHEL GORR PRIMARY EXAMINER